

Original Research Articles

Research Progress on Non-O1 and non-O139 *Vibrio cholerae* in Aquatic Animals and Its Public Health Significance

Qing Tan¹, Man Xu¹, Xue-Xian Li¹, Ya-jun Chen¹, Rong-hua Wang²^a, Lin Tang², Jian Liu³

¹ Changde Vocational Technical College, Changde 415000, China, ² Hunan Provincial Key Laboratory for Molecular Immunity Technology of Aquatic Animal Diseases, College of Life and Environmental Sciences, Hunan University of Arts and Science, Changde, Hunan 415000, China, ³ Hunan Xiang Jia husbandry Limited by Share Ltd, Changde, Hunan 415300, China

Keywords: Antibiotic resistance, Aquaculture, Zoonotic potential, Environmental adaptation, Non-O1/non O139 *Vibrio cholerae*<https://doi.org/10.46989/001c.142368>

Israeli Journal of Aquaculture - Bamidgheh

Vol. 77, Issue 3, 2025

Non-O1/non-O139 *Vibrio cholerae* is an important zoonotic pathogen that has gained increasing attention as an emerging pathogen in both aquaculture and public health sectors in recent years. This review summarizes the latest research progress on these pathogens in aquatic animals, covering aspects such as classification and identification, epidemiological characteristics, virulence factors, impact on aquatic animals, antibiotic resistance, prevention and control measures, and zoonotic potential. Studies have shown that non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* are widely distributed in aquaculture environments globally, exhibiting complex host ranges and seasonal variations. These strains possess diverse virulence factors capable of causing various diseases in aquatic animals, resulting in significant economic losses to the aquaculture industry. Concurrently, non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* have demonstrated increasing antibiotic resistance, with the transmission and evolution of resistance genes becoming a major concern. To address these challenges, researchers have made positive strides in vaccine development, biological control, and aquaculture environment management. However, developing broad-spectrum and effective control strategies remains challenging due to the genetic diversity and adaptability of non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae*. Furthermore, as potential zoonotic pathogens, non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* pose a threat to food safety and public health through contaminated aquatic products. Future research should focus on genomics, host-pathogen interaction mechanisms, and the development of novel prevention and control strategies. Multidisciplinary collaboration and international cooperation are crucial for a deeper understanding of this complex pathogen and the formulation of effective control measures, which will contribute significantly to the sustainable development of aquaculture and global public health security.

1. INTRODUCTION

Vibrio cholerae is a gram-negative, curved bacterium widely distributed in aquatic environments. Among its serotypes, O1 and O139 are recognized as the primary pathogens responsible for cholera outbreaks.^{1,2} However, in recent years, non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* strains have gained increasing importance in aquaculture, attracting widespread attention from researchers.³⁻⁵ Although non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* strains do not cause typical cholera, they have been confirmed to cause human diseases such as diarrhea, wound infections, and septicemia.⁶⁻⁹ In aquaculture, these strains are considered significant pathogens, capable of causing diseases in various aquatic animals and resulting in substantial economic losses.¹⁰⁻¹² Furthermore, non-O1/

non-O139 *V. cholerae* may serve as a reservoir of virulence genes in the environment, potentially influencing the evolution of O1 and O139 *V. cholerae* through horizontal gene transfer.¹³

Aquatic animals play a crucial role in the ecology and epidemiology of non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* as important hosts and vectors.¹⁴ With the rapid global development of aquaculture, the interactions between aquatic animals and non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* have become increasingly complex. This not only affects the sustainable development of aquaculture but may also pose potential threats to public health safety. In recent years, significant progress has been made in the study of non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae*, thanks to advances in molecular biology techniques and omics methods. However, many mysteries re-

a Corresponding author. Rong-hua Wang, e-mail: wangrh@huas.edu.cn

main regarding the distribution, pathogenic mechanisms, antimicrobial resistance, and ecological characteristics of these strains in aquatic animals.

This article aims to review the latest advances in research on non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* in aquatic animals, including their classification and identification, epidemiological characteristics, virulence factors, impact on aquatic animals, antimicrobial resistance, prevention and control measures, and public health significance. By systematically examining existing research findings, we hope to provide a reference for a deeper understanding of the biological properties of non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* and offer scientific basis for disease prevention and control in aquaculture, as well as food safety management.

2. CLASSIFICATION AND IDENTIFICATION OF NON-O1/NON-O139 *V. CHOLERA*

The classification and identification of non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* form the foundation for understanding their biological properties and epidemiological characteristics. As research deepens and technology advances, this field continues to evolve, providing new insights into the classification system of *V. cholerae*.

2.1. SEROLOGICAL CLASSIFICATION

Traditionally, *V. cholerae* classification has been primarily based on the serological characteristics of the O antigen.¹⁵ Currently, over 200 O serogroups of *V. cholerae* are known, with non-O1/non-O139 types comprising the vast majority.¹⁶ Serological typing methods are simple to perform and relatively low-cost, remaining widely used for initial screening of clinical and environmental samples. However, this method has limitations such as cross-reactivity and subjective judgment, making it challenging to meet the needs for precise classification.¹⁷

2.2. MOLECULAR BIOLOGICAL IDENTIFICATION METHODS

The application of molecular biology techniques has greatly improved the accuracy and efficiency of non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* identification. Multiplex PCR techniques are widely used to detect specific genes, such as the *rfb* gene cluster (encoding O antigen) and the *toxR* gene (species-specific regulatory gene).^{1,18} 16S rRNA gene sequencing is another commonly used molecular identification method that can provide accurate identification at the genus level.¹⁹ Additionally, Multi-Locus Sequence Typing (MLST) technology, which analyzes sequence variations in multiple housekeeping genes,²⁰ has provided a powerful tool for typing and evolutionary studies of *V. cholerae*.^{13,21,22}

2.3. EMERGING CLASSIFICATION AND IDENTIFICATION TECHNOLOGIES

In recent years, emerging technologies have brought new opportunities for the classification and identification of non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae*. The application of Whole

Genome Sequencing (WGS) technology has enabled researchers to comprehensively analyze the genomic characteristics of strains, not only allowing for precise classification but also revealing evolutionary relationships between strains.²³⁻²⁵ Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption/Ionization Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) technology is gradually becoming an important tool for bacterial identification due to its rapid, accurate, and economical features.^{26,27} Furthermore, real-time genomic analysis technology based on nanopore sequencing has shown potential in rapid identification and typing.²⁸

Although these new technologies have brought significant advances in the classification and identification of non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae*, some challenges remain in practical applications. For example, issues such as standardization and sharing of data between different laboratories, identification in complex environmental samples, and classification of new variant strains still require further research.

In conclusion, the classification and identification methods for non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* are transitioning from traditional phenotypic analysis to genome-based precise typing. This trend not only improves the accuracy of classification but also provides new perspectives for in-depth understanding of the evolution and adaptability of non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae*. In the future, with continuous technological advancements and database improvements, we hope to establish a more comprehensive and precise classification system for non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae*, providing more reliable scientific basis for disease prevention and control in aquaculture and public health safety.

3. EPIDEMIOLOGY OF NON-O1/NON-O139 *V. CHOLERA* IN AQUATIC ANIMALS

Epidemiological studies of non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* in aquatic animals are crucial for understanding their ecological distribution, transmission dynamics, and potential public health risks. In recent years, with advances in monitoring techniques and expanded research scope, we have gained a deeper understanding of the epidemiological characteristics of these pathogens in aquaculture environments.

3.1. GEOGRAPHICAL DISTRIBUTION

Non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* are widely distributed in aquaculture environments globally.^{29,30} Studies have shown that these strains can be isolated from freshwater, saltwater, and brackish water aquaculture systems across all continents.^{29,31} In China, India, and Southeast Asian countries, the detection rates of non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* are relatively high due to intensive aquaculture activities.^{12,30,32-34} Coastal waters and farms in Europe and the Americas have also reported the presence of these strains.^{5,35-37} Notably, strains from different geographical regions may exhibit genetic diversity, reflecting the results of local adaptive evolution.³⁸

3.2. HOST RANGE

Non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* demonstrates a wide range of aquatic animal hosts, spanning multiple ecological niches. These hosts include economically important fish species such as carp (*Cyprinus carpio*),^{39,40} Zebrafish (*Danio rerio*),⁴¹ tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*),⁴² bluegill sunfish (*Lepomis macrochirus*)⁴³ and pink-tailed chalcus (*Chalceus macrolepidotus*)⁴⁴; crustaceans like giant freshwater prawn (*Macrobrachium rosenbergii*)^{10,45} and red swamp crayfish (*Procambarus clarkia*)⁴⁶; and mollusks such as oysters (*Crassostrea gigas*)^{47,48} and mussels (*Mytilus edulis*).⁴⁹ Furthermore, amphibians like the American bullfrog (*Rana catesbeiana*),⁴³ aquatic mammals such as dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*)⁵⁰ have also been identified as hosts. This diverse host range not only reflects the ecological adaptability of non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* but also highlights its potential significance in aquaculture and aquatic ecosystem health. These findings provide a foundation for further research into the ecology, evolution, and potential health impacts of these strains.

3.3. SEASONAL VARIATIONS

The prevalence of non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* in the environment exhibits distinct seasonal patterns, influenced by various environmental factors and displaying differentiated characteristics across geographical regions. In temperate areas, such as Southern California and Mediterranean coasts, the highest detection rates are typically observed during summer and early autumn, primarily associated with rising water temperatures.^{51,52} Water temperature is considered one of the key factors affecting the survival and growth of *V. cholerae*.^{53,54} In contrast, seasonal variations in tropical and subtropical regions, like Bangladesh, are mainly influenced by alternating rainy and dry seasons, with increased detection rates during the rainy season possibly linked to elevated nutrient levels and altered hydrological conditions due to rainfall.^{55,56} Besides temperature and precipitation, factors such as salinity, pH, and plankton abundance may also influence the seasonal distribution of *V. cholerae*.⁵⁷ This seasonal pattern not only reflects the adaptability of non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* to environmental conditions but may also be related to its ability to enter a viable but non-culturable (VBNC) state and subsequent reactivation.^{1,2} Understanding these seasonal patterns is crucial for developing targeted monitoring and prevention strategies, with intensified surveillance and preventive measures during high-risk seasons potentially helping to mitigate public health risks. Overall, the seasonal pattern of non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* is an essential component of its ecological characteristics, reflecting the complex interactions between these bacteria and their environment. This provides important clues for understanding and predicting the environmental dynamics of *V. cholerae*, while also offering valuable guidance for public health management.

3.4. INFLUENCE OF ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS

Multiple studies have shown that environmental factors significantly influence the distribution and abundance of non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae*. Water temperature is one of the most critical factors, with optimal growth occurring between 30°C and 37°C, although *V. cholerae* can grow at temperatures ranging from 10°C to 43°C.^{53,56} A significant increase in *V. cholerae* abundance has been observed when water temperatures exceed 17°C.⁵⁸ Salinity is also an important parameter, with *V. cholerae* tolerating a wide range from 0 to 45 parts per thousand (ppt), but optimal growth occurs at salinities between 2 and 14 ppt.^{59,60} Additionally, factors such as pH, dissolved oxygen, and organic matter content affect the survival and reproduction of these strains. *V. cholerae* can survive in pH ranges from 5.0 to 9.6, with optimal growth at pH 7.6 to 8.6.^{61,62} As a facultative anaerobe, *V. cholerae* grows best in aerobic conditions, with oxygen concentrations above 0.5 mg/L supporting growth.^{60,63} High levels of organic matter, particularly chitin, promote *V. cholerae* growth, with concentrations of dissolved organic carbon above 3 mg/L associated with increased abundance.^{53,64}

It's noteworthy that global climate change may alter these environmental factors, thereby impacting the prevalence dynamics of non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae*.⁶⁵ The complex interactions between these factors create specific ecological niches favorable for *V. cholerae* growth. For instance, Huq et al.⁵⁶ found that the combination of water temperatures above 25°C, pH between 7 and 8.5, and salinity between 0.2 and 2.0 ppt was particularly conducive to *V. cholerae* growth in aquatic environments.

In recent years, the application of new technologies such as metagenomics and ecological network analysis has enabled a comprehensive understanding of the position and role of non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* in aquaculture ecosystems.⁶⁶ These studies not only reveal the complex relationships between strains and environmental factors but also provide new perspectives for understanding their interactions with other microbial communities.⁶⁷ For instance, Nandi et al.⁶⁸ found that certain non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* strains may play important ecological roles in aquatic environments.

Overall, the epidemiological characteristics of non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* in aquatic animals present complex spatiotemporal dynamics.⁶⁹ Future research needs to further integrate multidisciplinary approaches from molecular epidemiology, ecology, and climate science to better predict and control the spread of these potential pathogens.⁵⁸ Simultaneously, establishing long-term, systematic monitoring networks is crucial for assessing the potential risks of non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* to the aquaculture industry and public health.⁷⁰ Recent studies have also highlighted the potential role of non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* in the spread of antibiotic resistance, further emphasizing the importance of continuous monitoring.⁷¹

4. IMPACT OF NON-O1/NON-O139 *V. CHOLERA* ON AQUATIC ANIMALS

4.1. PATHOGENESIS MECHANISM

The pathogenesis mechanism of non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* in aquatic animals is a complex multi-stage process involving the synergistic action of multiple virulence factors. This process begins with the adhesion and colonization of bacteria to host surface structures through MSHA pili, TCP (toxin co-regulated pilus), and other adhesion factors such as OmpU, which is a crucial step in establishing infection.^{72,73} Subsequently, bacteria secrete enzymes such as hemolysin (HlyA), extracellular protease (PrtV), and chitinase to break down host tissue barriers, promoting further invasion and spread.⁷⁴ HlyA has been shown to form pores in erythrocytes and other cell types, contributing to tissue damage and inflammation.⁷⁵ Various toxins directly act on host cells, leading to cellular dysfunction and tissue damage. These include the heat-stable enterotoxin (Stn/Sto), Cholix toxin, and the multifunctional autoprocessing repeats-in-toxin (MARTX) toxin.^{76,77} The MARTX toxin, for example, has been found to disrupt the actin cytoskeleton of host cells, facilitating bacterial invasion.⁷⁸

Some strains may also evade immune clearance by altering surface antigens or interfering with host immune responses. The O-antigen capsule and the VPS exopolysaccharide have been implicated in immune evasion and biofilm formation, respectively, enhancing bacterial survival in the host.^{79,80} Additionally, the quorum sensing system, regulated by the CAI-1 and AI-2 autoinducers, allows bacteria to coordinate group behavior, expressing virulence genes at appropriate times to enhance pathogenicity.⁸¹ This system has been shown to control the expression of virulence factors such as proteases and the cholera toxin gene in a density-dependent manner.⁸² Recent studies have also highlighted the role of type VI secretion systems (T6SS) in bacterial competition and virulence. T6SS has been found to deliver toxic effectors to both prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells, potentially contributing to the pathogenesis of non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* in aquatic hosts.⁸³

Understanding these complex pathogenesis mechanisms is crucial for developing effective prevention and treatment strategies for non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* infections in aquaculture and for assessing their potential impact on public health. The multifaceted nature of non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* pathogenesis, involving adhesion, tissue invasion, toxin production, immune evasion, and coordinated virulence expression, underscores the adaptability and potential threat of these strains in aquatic environments. Future research should focus on elucidating the specific roles of these virulence factors in different aquatic hosts and environmental conditions, as well as exploring potential targets for intervention strategies to mitigate the impact of non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* in aquaculture settings.

4.2. COMMON SYMPTOMS AND PATHOLOGICAL CHANGES

Non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* infections in aquatic animals manifest with diverse symptoms and pathological changes, varying according to host species, infection site, and severity. Fish, crustaceans, and mollusks, as the main groups of aquatic animals, present different clinical manifestations and pathological features upon infection (**Table 1**). This diversity reflects the variation in host physiological structures and immune responses, and is closely related to environmental factors and pathogen strain variability.^{58,69}

In terms of symptom development speed and external manifestations, fish and shrimp typically present more acute and obvious symptoms, such as skin ulcers, fin rot, and abnormal movement, usually appearing within 2-7 days post-infection.^{84,85} In contrast, mollusks and some crab species tend to exhibit a more chronic disease course, with less obvious external symptoms like weakened shell closure response and mantle retraction, which may become apparent only weeks after infection.^{87,88} This difference partly stems from the varying complexity and efficiency of immune systems among species, with fish typically showing a more pronounced inflammatory response, while mollusks demonstrate a relatively weaker immune reaction.⁸⁹

Internal pathological changes and histological features also vary among species. Fish commonly exhibit enlargement and lesions in the liver, kidney, and spleen; crustaceans may show hepatopancreas enlargement and muscle liquefaction; while mollusks often present with digestive gland atrophy and soft tissue liquefaction.^{37,86,90} These differences are closely related to the unique physiological structures of each species, for example, the hepatopancreas in crustaceans and the digestive gland in mollusks are often primary target organs. Histological examination typically reveals cell degeneration and necrosis in corresponding organs, as well as varying degrees of inflammatory response and immune cell infiltration. Understanding these species-specific responses is crucial for developing effective diagnostic and control strategies, and provides important insights for assessing the potential impact of non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* on aquaculture and public health.⁹¹

It should be noted that the severity of symptoms and pathological changes may vary depending on environmental conditions, host health status, and the virulence of the pathogen strain. Moreover, some symptoms may overlap among different species, necessitating comprehensive consideration of multiple factors in actual diagnosis. Future research should further explore the molecular mechanisms underlying these differences to develop more precise and species-specific intervention measures.

5. OVERVIEW OF VIRULENCE FACTORS IN NON-O1/NON-O139 *V. CHOLERA*

Although non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* do not produce the classical cholera toxin, they possess various virulence factors that enable them to cause disease in aquatic animals and potentially pose a threat to human health. This section

Table 1. Comparative Overview of Symptoms and Pathological Changes in Aquatic Animals Infected with Non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae*

Items	Fish ^{43,84}	Crustaceans ^{85,86}	Mollusks ^{87,88}
External symptoms	- Skin ulcers - Fin rot - Exophthalmos - Abdominal distension - Gill discoloration and necrosis - Hemorrhagic spots on body surface - Scale loss	- Sluggish movement - Decreased appetite - Shell softening - Appendage and tail fan necrosis - Body color darkening - Spots on shell surface - Antenna atrophy	- Weakened shell closure response - Mantle retraction - Abnormal shell edges - Foot swelling - Increased mucus secretion - Incomplete siphon retraction
Internal pathological changes	- Liver enlargement, paleness - Kidney enlargement, congestion - Spleen enlargement - Intestinal congestion, hemorrhage - Ascites accumulation - Pericardial effusion	- Hepatopancreas enlargement, whitening - Gill discoloration, necrosis - Muscle whitening, liquefaction - Hemolymph coagulation - Digestive tract congestion	- Soft tissue liquefaction - Digestive gland atrophy - Gill tissue damage - Pericardial edema - Gonad degeneration
Histological changes	- Epidermis and dermis inflammation - Hepatocyte degeneration and necrosis - Renal tubular epithelial cell degeneration - Splenic blood cell proliferation - Intestinal mucosa shedding	- Hepatopancreatic tubular epithelial cell necrosis - Hemolymph organ structure disorder - Gill epithelial cell shedding - Muscle fiber necrosis - Neural tissue degeneration	- Digestive gland epithelial cell degeneration - Gill epithelial cell shedding - Mantle connective tissue edema - Blood cell infiltration - Germ cell degeneration
Symptom development speed	- Acute, obvious symptoms typically within 2-7 days post-infection	- Acute in shrimp (1-3 days), more chronic in crabs (5-10 days)	- Chronic, symptoms may become apparent weeks after infection
Main target organs	- Liver, kidney, skin, gills	- Hepatopancreas, gills, muscle	- Digestive gland, gills, mantle
Immune response characteristics	- Obvious inflammatory response - Blood cell aggregation - Increased phagocyte activity - Antimicrobial peptide production	- Blood cell infiltration - Organ structure disorder - Coagulation response - Phenoloxidase system activation	- Relatively weak immune response - Blood cell migration - Increased lysozyme activity

provides an overview of the virulence factors of non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* from two aspects: virulence genes and their mechanisms of action and pathogenesis, and the diversity and adaptability of virulence genes.

5.1. VIRULENCE GENES AND THEIR MECHANISMS OF ACTION AND PATHOGENESIS

Non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* strains possess a diverse array of virulence factors that contribute to their pathogenicity. These virulence genes encode various proteins and toxins that play crucial roles in bacterial colonization, invasion, and the induction of host cellular responses (Table 2). The virulence factors can be broadly categorized into toxins, adhesins, secretion systems, and regulatory proteins. Collectively, these factors enable non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* to attach to host cells, evade host immune responses, disrupt cellular functions, and cause tissue damage.^{75,84}

The expression of these virulence factors is controlled by a complex regulatory network, including quorum sensing (QS) systems, ToxR regulatory systems, and various global regulators.¹⁰² Environmental factors such as temperature, salinity, and pH also significantly affect the expression of

virulence genes, allowing non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* to adapt to different ecological niches.⁵⁴

In addition to the well-established virulence factors, recent studies have identified new mechanisms contributing to the pathogenicity of non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae*. Outer Membrane Vesicles (OMVs) have been found to play a significant role in bacteria-host interactions, carrying various virulence factors including proteases, hemolysins, and DNA.^{1,2} Some strains also possess CRISPR-Cas systems, which not only provide defense against phage infection but may also be involved in virulence regulation.¹⁰⁴ Furthermore, the discovery of small RNAs such as VqmR and TarA has revealed an additional layer of virulence regulation in these bacteria.¹⁰⁵

The complexity of virulence regulation in non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* extends beyond these newly identified factors. In addition to the well-known ToxR system, other two-component systems like VarS/VarA have been implicated in virulence regulation.¹⁰⁶ This diversity and complexity of virulence factors underscore the pathogenic potential of non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae*. Understanding the functions and regulatory mechanisms of these factors is crucial for developing targeted prevention and treatment strategies. Future research should focus on exploring the expression patterns of these virulence factors under various host

Table 2. Summarizes the main virulence genes, their encoded products, and their mechanisms of action and pathogenesis

Virulence Gene	Encoded Product	Mechanism of Action and Pathogenesis
hlyA	Hemolysin	Causes red blood cell lysis and tissue damage ⁷⁵
stn/sto	Heat-stable enterotoxin	Activates guanylate cyclase in intestinal epithelial cells, leading to increased secretion of water and electrolytes, causing diarrhea ⁹²
prtV	Extracellular protease	Participates in the degradation of host tissue proteins, associated with tissue invasion ⁹³
T3SS	Type III secretion system	Directly injects virulence proteins into host cells, interfering with cell signaling pathways and cytoskeletal structures ⁹⁴
msha	Mannose-sensitive hemagglutinin	Mediates initial contact between bacteria and host cells, promotes biofilm formation ⁷³
chxA	Cholix toxin	Inhibits host cell protein synthesis through ADP-ribosylation ⁹⁵
rtxA	Repeat-in-toxin	Causes cytoskeleton reorganization, increases cell permeability ⁷⁸
hapA	Hemagglutinin/protease	Degrades intestinal mucus, promotes bacterial colonization ⁷⁴
tlh	Thermolabile hemolysin	Increases cell membrane permeability, leads to cell lysis ⁹⁶
ace	Accessory cholera enterotoxin	Increases intestinal ion secretion, causes diarrhea ⁹⁷
zot	Zonula occludens toxin	Increases permeability of intestinal tight junctions ⁹⁸
pilA	Type IV pili	Involved in bacterial attachment and biofilm formation ⁹⁹
nanH	Neuraminidase	Degrades sialic acid in mucus, promotes bacterial colonization ¹⁰⁰
vvhA	Cytolysin	Forms membrane pores, leads to cell lysis ¹⁰¹
toxR	Transcriptional regulator	Regulates expression of multiple virulence genes ¹⁰²
vasH	T6SS regulator	Regulates expression of the Type VI secretion system ¹⁰³

and environmental conditions, as well as their interactions. Such studies will provide new insights for controlling non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* infections and may lead to novel therapeutic approaches.

5.2. DIVERSITY AND ADAPTABILITY OF VIRULENCE GENES

Non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* strains exhibit remarkable diversity and adaptability in their virulence genes, which is key to their successful colonization and pathogenicity. These strains possess a highly plastic genome, capable of rapidly acquiring or losing virulence factors through mechanisms such as horizontal gene transfer, genetic recombination, and mutation.¹⁰⁷ This genetic plasticity allows non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* to adapt to various ecological niches, including aquatic environments and human hosts.⁶⁹ The expression of virulence genes is controlled by complex regulatory networks, including quorum sensing systems, ToxR regulatory pathways, and other global regulators, enabling bacteria to flexibly adjust their virulence phenotypes in response to environmental conditions such as temperature, pH, and osmotic pressure.^{102,108} For instance, the ToxR system not only regulates classical virulence genes like cholera toxin (CT) and toxin co-regulated pilus (TCP) but also influences the expression of other virulence factors such as the outer membrane protein

OmpU.¹⁰⁹ Moreover, these strains often carry multiple virulence factors with overlapping functions, providing functional redundancy and enhancing their survival capabilities in different environments.

In recent years, the application of high-throughput sequencing technologies has enabled a more comprehensive understanding of the diversity of virulence genes in non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae*.¹¹⁰ Studies have found that even within the same geographical region, there can be significant differences in virulence gene composition between different strains. Kirchberger et al.¹¹¹ used whole-genome sequencing to analyze non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* strains from coastal environments, revealing high diversity in virulence gene composition and identifying new virulence factors. Furthermore, the adaptability of non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* is also reflected in their antibiotic resistance. Research has shown that these strains can develop multiple drug resistance by acquiring resistance genes or mutations, further increasing their survival ability and public health threat.¹¹² Another noteworthy aspect is the interaction between non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* and environmental microbial communities. These interactions may influence the expression and spread of virulence genes. Purdy et al.¹¹³ found that certain environmental factors can induce non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* to enter a viable but non-culturable (VBNC) state, which may affect the expression and detection of their virulence genes.

In conclusion, non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* possess diverse virulence factors and complex pathogenic mechanisms. The diversity and adaptability of their virulence genes enable them to be widely distributed in aquatic animals and potentially pose a threat to human health. Future research should focus on elucidating the mechanisms of action of these virulence factors in different hosts, exploring the influence of environmental factors on virulence gene expression, and studying the horizontal transfer and evolution of virulence genes. Additionally, developing new rapid detection methods and effective prevention strategies are important directions for future research. These studies will provide important bases for developing targeted prevention and control strategies and assessing public health risks. The diversity of virulence genes also leads to a variety of clinical manifestations in non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* infections, ranging from mild gastrointestinal symptoms to severe systemic infections.¹¹⁴ This genetic and phenotypic diversity not only increases the environmental adaptability and pathogenic potential of non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* but also poses challenges for disease diagnosis, treatment, and prevention, highlighting the importance of continuous monitoring and research on these emerging pathogens.

6. ANTIBIOTIC RESISTANCE IN NON-O1/NON-O139 *V. CHOLERA*

6.1. COMMON RESISTANCE PATTERNS

Antibiotic resistance in non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* (non-O1/non-O139 strains) has become a major challenge for global aquaculture and public health sectors. In recent years, these strains have exhibited complex and diverse resistance patterns, with a significant increase in the proportion of multidrug-resistant (MDR) strains. Liu et al.¹¹⁵ reported that the percentage of MDR strains isolated from coastal regions in China rose from 15% in 2010 to 35% in 2020. Resistance is not only observed in commonly used antibiotics such as β -lactams, tetracyclines, and fluoroquinolones but also shows distinct geographical distribution differences and host specificity.^{110,116}

6.2. RESISTANCE MECHANISMS

These bacteria acquire resistance through various mechanisms, primarily including enzyme-mediated resistance (e.g., production of β -lactamases and aminoglycoside-modifying enzymes), target site alterations (e.g., mutations in DNA gyrase and topoisomerase IV), enhanced efflux pump systems (e.g., RND family efflux pumps), and reduced membrane permeability (Table 3). Wang et al.¹¹⁷ also discovered a novel tetracycline resistance mechanism involving tet(X) gene mutations, further complicating resistance control efforts.

The diversity and complexity of these resistance mechanisms highlight the severity of antibiotic resistance in non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* strains. Understanding these mechanisms is crucial for developing new antibiotics and formulating effective resistance control strategies. Future

research should continue to monitor resistance trends, explore new resistance mechanisms, and develop innovative treatment approaches to address this increasingly serious problem.⁹¹

6.3. TRANSMISSION AND EVOLUTION OF RESISTANCE

The transmission and evolution of antibiotic resistance in non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* is a complex process, primarily achieved through various mechanisms of horizontal gene transfer. Ceccarelli et al.¹¹⁰ found that up to 70% of non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* strains isolated from the Chesapeake Bay carried multiple resistance genes, typically located on mobile genetic elements such as integrons and transposons. Rapa et al.¹²⁴ further confirmed the importance of integrons, particularly class 1 integrons, in facilitating the horizontal transfer of resistance genes in the environment. Beyond integrons, Spagnoletti et al.¹²⁵ studied the role of SXT/R391 family integrative conjugative elements (ICEs) in *V. cholerae*, discovering that these ICEs could efficiently transfer multiple antibiotic resistance genes between different *V. cholerae* strains. Additionally, Wang et al.¹²⁶ identified multiple transferable resistance plasmids in non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* isolated from coastal regions of China, further illustrating the diversity of horizontal gene transfer. Environmental factors, such as excessive antibiotic use and high-density aquaculture, significantly influence the spread of resistance. Ramamurthy et al.¹²⁷ pointed out that the improper use of antibiotics in aquaculture may accelerate the selection and spread of resistant strains, posing a potential threat to public health.

Globalization and climate change also profoundly impact the spread of resistance. Baker-Austin et al.⁹¹ reviewed how climate change might influence the ecology and epidemiology of *V. cholerae* through various mechanisms, including altering its geographical distribution and seasonal patterns. Vezzulli et al.⁵⁸ demonstrated a significant correlation between rising water temperatures in the coastal North Atlantic over the past 50 years and an increase in *V. cholerae* abundance, which may accelerate the spread of resistance genes. Furthermore, Verma et al.¹¹² revealed how the genomic plasticity of *V. cholerae* facilitates its acquisition of new antibiotic resistance, enabling these bacteria to survive in different environments and acquire new resistance characteristics through adaptive evolution.

Addressing the challenge of antibiotic resistance in non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* requires a multi-pronged, comprehensive strategy. The World Health Organization¹²⁸ emphasized the importance of establishing comprehensive resistance monitoring systems in its Global Antimicrobial Resistance and Use Surveillance System (GLASS) report. Meanwhile, new detection technologies are continually developing. For example, Li et al.¹²⁹ developed a microfluidics-based method for real-time study of the rapid spread of antibiotic resistance plasmids in biofilms. This innovative technology provides new tools for understanding the dynamics of resistance spread in microenvironments. Only through multidisciplinary collaboration, integrating microbiology, ecology, epidemiology, and policy research, can we more comprehensively understand and control the antibi-

Table 3. Antibiotic Resistance Mechanisms in Non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* Strains

Resistance Mechanism Type	Specific Mechanism	Examples	Impact
Enzyme-mediated resistance	β -lactamases	ESBL, MBL (e.g., blaCTX-M, blaNDM-1)	Degrade β -lactam antibiotics ¹¹⁸
	Aminoglycoside-modifying enzymes	AAC(6')-Ib, APH(3')-Ia	Modify and reduce aminoglycoside antibiotic activity ¹¹⁹
Target site alteration	DNA gyrase and topoisomerase IV mutations	Mutations in gyrA and parC genes	Cause resistance to quinolone antibiotics ¹⁰³
	Ribosomal protein mutations	23S rRNA methylation	Induce resistance to macrolides and chloramphenicol ¹²⁰
Efflux pump systems	RND family efflux pumps	AcrAB-TolC system	Pump out various antibiotics, leading to multidrug resistance ¹²¹
	Novel tetracycline resistance mechanism	tet(X) gene variation	Enhance tetracycline efflux efficiency ¹²²
Reduced membrane permeability	Altered outer membrane protein (OMP) expression	Downregulation of OmpU and OmpT	Reduce antibiotic entry into cells ¹²³
Biofilm formation	-	-	Increase tolerance to antibiotics ⁸⁰

otic resistance of non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae*, providing strong support for the sustainable development of aquaculture and public health safety.

7. PREVENTION AND CONTROL MEASURES

The prevention and control of non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* strains pose significant challenges for both the aquaculture industry and public health sectors. Effective prevention and control strategies require comprehensive measures, including aquaculture environment management, vaccine development, biological control, and rational use of antibiotics.

7.1. AQUACULTURE ENVIRONMENT MANAGEMENT

Aquaculture environment management is fundamental to controlling the spread of non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae*. Hossain et al.¹³⁰ demonstrated that implementing good aquaculture management practices can significantly reduce the number of *V. cholerae* strains in the environment. Specific measures include optimizing water quality parameters (such as pH, salinity, and dissolved oxygen), regular disinfection and substrate improvement, and implementing biosecurity measures. For example, Krummenauer et al.¹³¹ found that using biofloc technology in shrimp farming can effectively improve water quality, reduce the number of pathogens, and enhance the health status of cultured organisms. These environmental management strategies not only directly reduce the number of pathogens but also indirectly lower antibiotic use by improving the health of cultured organisms, thereby reducing the development and spread of resistance. Furthermore, Rameshkumar et al.¹³² showed that implementing comprehensive aquaculture management measures, including proper water quality management and probiotic supplementation, can significantly decrease the prevalence of *Vibrio* species in shrimp aquaculture systems. These measures can also help main-

tain the balance of aquaculture ecosystems, reducing the occurrence of environmental conditions favorable for the growth and gene transfer of *V. cholerae*.

7.2. PROGRESS IN VACCINE DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH

Vaccine development is a crucial direction for preventing non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* infections. Although no commercial vaccines are currently available for non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae*, research progress is encouraging. Yan et al.¹³³ studied the adhesion characteristics of pathogenic *V. alginolyticus* to the intestinal mucus of large yellow croaker, providing an important foundation for developing adhesion inhibitors and vaccines against *Vibrio* species. Furthermore, Luan et al.¹³⁴ expressed and characterized a metalloprotease from *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*, which could potentially serve as a vaccine target. However, developing a broad-spectrum effective vaccine remains challenging due to the high genetic diversity of non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae*.

7.3. BIOLOGICAL CONTROL STRATEGIES

Biological control strategies, as environmentally friendly alternatives, have gained widespread attention in recent years. These strategies mainly include probiotics, plant extracts, and phage therapy. Sha et al.¹³⁵ found that lactic acid bacteria isolated from healthy *Litopenaeus vannamei* could effectively inhibit the growth of *Vibrio* species and enhance host immune responses. Regarding plant extracts, Packiavathy et al.¹³⁶ demonstrated that curcumin has significant inhibitory effects on biofilm formation of *V. cholerae*. As an emerging strategy, phage therapy has shown promise, with Yen et al.¹³⁷ successfully isolating phages that specifically lyse *V. cholerae*, providing potential for developing new biological control agents.

7.4. RATIONAL USE OF ANTIBIOTICS

The rational use of antibiotics is key to controlling the spread of resistance. Many countries have begun implementing stricter antibiotic use management policies. Watts et al.¹³⁸ reviewed the status and trends of antibiotic use in aquaculture, emphasizing the importance of implementing antibiotic stewardship programs. Santos and Ramos¹³⁹ proposed a precision medication model based on rapid pathogen diagnosis and antibiotic sensitivity testing, which can significantly reduce antibiotic use while maintaining therapeutic efficacy. Furthermore, Deng et al.¹⁴⁰ demonstrated that the stock density in shrimp aquaculture affects the microbial community in biofloc water and shrimp gut microbiota, which has implications for disease management and antibiotic use strategies.

8. ZOONOTIC POTENTIAL OF NON-O1/NON-O139 *V. CHOLERA*

As potential zoonotic pathogens, non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* warrant in-depth research into their transmission routes from aquatic animals to humans, public health risks, and food safety control measures.

8.1. TRANSMISSION ROUTES FROM AQUATIC ANIMALS TO HUMANS

Non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* primarily spread to humans through consumption of contaminated seafood or contact with polluted water bodies. Halpern et al.¹⁴¹ found that fish can act as reservoirs for *V. cholerae*, including non-O1/non-O139 strains, potentially facilitating their transmission to humans. Additionally, occupational exposure (such as fishermen and aquatic product processing workers) is also an important transmission route. Senderovich et al.⁸⁴ demonstrated that non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* could be isolated from fish in freshwater habitats, suggesting a potential risk for individuals in close contact with these environments.

8.2. PUBLIC HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT

Assessing the public health risks of non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* is fundamental to developing prevention and control strategies. Baker-Austin et al.⁹¹ reviewed the increasing incidence of *Vibrio* infections, including those caused by non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae*, in the context of climate change. They highlighted that warming coastal waters could lead to increased exposure risks, particularly in temperate regions. However, actual infection numbers may be underestimated due to often mild symptoms and potential misdiagnosis.

8.3. FOOD SAFETY CONTROL MEASURES

Given that food is the main route of transmission for non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae*, strengthening food safety control is crucial. Wei et al.¹⁴² developed multiplex PCR assays for the simultaneous detection of several *Vibrio* species, including *V. cholerae*, *V. parahaemolyticus*, *V. vulnificus*, and

V. alginolyticus, with an internal amplification control. This method provides a rapid, sensitive, and specific tool for detecting potentially pathogenic *Vibrio* species, including non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae*, in various samples. The inclusion of an internal amplification control enhances the reliability of the assay by reducing false-negative results. This rapid detection technology offers a powerful tool for food safety regulation, allowing for the efficient identification of potentially harmful non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* strains in food products. The authors reported that the detection limit of their multiplex PCR assay was 10^3 CFU/mL for pure cultures and 10^4 CFU/mL for spiked oyster samples, demonstrating its potential for practical application in food safety monitoring. Additionally, Pruzzo et al.¹⁴³ reviewed the persistence mechanisms of *Vibrio* species in marine environments, which has implications for developing effective control strategies in aquaculture and seafood processing. Their work highlights the importance of understanding the ecological factors that contribute to the survival and spread of non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* in aquatic environments and food chains.

9. FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

While significant progress has been made in the study of non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae*, numerous challenges remain. Future research should focus on three main areas: genomics, host-pathogen interaction mechanisms, and the development of novel prevention and control strategies. In the field of genomics, researchers should concentrate on comparative genomics analysis to understand evolutionary relationships between different serotypes and ecotypes, pan-genome analysis to identify core and accessory genes contributing to virulence and environmental adaptation, and functional genomics studies to elucidate gene expression regulation under various environmental conditions. Additionally, metagenomics approaches will be crucial in understanding the role of non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* in microbial communities.

Exploring host-pathogen interaction mechanisms is vital for developing effective prevention and control strategies. Future studies should utilize advanced in vitro models to simulate the infection process in different host species, investigate how non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* evade host immune systems, and explore their interactions with the host microbiome. Identifying new virulence factors and their roles in pathogenesis will also be crucial. In terms of prevention and control strategies, research should focus on developing next-generation broad-spectrum vaccines, exploring the application of immunomodulators to enhance host resistance, developing smart drug delivery systems for targeted therapy, and utilizing synthetic biology techniques to design functional probiotics. Novel biocontrol methods, such as phage therapy or CRISPR-based approaches, also warrant investigation.

Future research should also address improving rapid detection methods for non-O1/non-O139 *V. cholerae* in environmental and clinical samples, developing predictive models for outbreaks based on environmental and climatic

factors, studying the impact of climate change on the distribution and virulence of these strains, and investigating their role in horizontal gene transfer of virulence and antibiotic resistance genes in aquatic environments. This field is full of opportunities and challenges, requiring multidisciplinary collaboration and international cooperation to integrate research efforts from microbiology, ecology, immunology, genomics, and other fields. This comprehensive approach will lead to a better understanding of this complex pathogen and the development of more effective prevention and control strategies, ultimately contributing to the sustainable development of aquaculture and global public health security.

.....

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Hunan Province (Grant no. 2023JJ30435), the Research Project of Education Department of Hunan Province (Grant no. 24C0679 and no. HNJG-2020-0719), and Changde Vocational and Technical College 2024 School-Enterprise Cooperation Project (XQ2401).

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION

Conceptualization: Qing Tan (Equal), Rong-hua Wang (Equal). Funding acquisition: Qing Tan (Equal), Rong-hua Wang (Equal). Writing – original draft: Qing Tan (Equal), Man Xu (Equal), Xue-Xian Li (Equal). Writing – review & editing: Qing Tan (Equal), Rong-hua Wang (Equal). Data curation: Ya-jun Chen (Equal), Lin Tang (Equal), Jian Liu (Equal).

COMPETING INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

INFORMED CONSENT STATEMENT

All authors and institutions have confirmed this manuscript for publication.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All are available upon reasonable request.

Submitted: April 22, 2025 CST. Accepted: July 06, 2025 CST.
Published: August 04, 2025 CST.



This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CCBY-4.0). View this license's legal deed at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0> and legal code at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode> for more information.

REFERENCES

1. Bwire G, Debes AK, Orach CG, et al. Environmental Surveillance of *Vibrio cholerae* O1/O139 in the Five African Great Lakes and Other Major Surface Water Sources in Uganda. *Frontiers in Microbiology*. 2018;9:1560. doi:[10.3389/fmicb.2018.01560](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.01560)
2. Liao F, Mo Z, Chen M, et al. Comparison and Evaluation of the Molecular Typing Methods for Toxigenic *Vibrio cholerae* in Southwest China. *Frontiers in Microbiology*. 2018;9:905. doi:[10.3389/fmicb.2018.00905](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.00905)
3. Dey SS, Hossain ZZ, Akhter H, Jensen PKM, Begum A. Abundance and biofilm formation capability of *Vibrio cholerae* in aquatic environment with an emphasis on Hilsha fish (*Tenualosa ilisha*). *Frontiers in Microbiology*. 2022;13:933413. doi:[10.3389/fmicb.2022.933413](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.933413)
4. Hossain ZZ, Farhana I, Tulsiani SM, Begum A, Jensen PKM. Transmission and Toxigenic Potential of *Vibrio cholerae* in Hilsha Fish (*Tenualosa ilisha*) for Human Consumption in Bangladesh. *Frontiers in Microbiology*. 2018;9:222. doi:[10.3389/fmicb.2018.00222](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.00222)
5. Laviad-Shitrit S, Sharaby Y, Izhaki I, Peretz A, Halpern M. Antimicrobial Susceptibility of Environmental Non-O1/Non-O139 *Vibrio cholerae* Isolates. *Frontiers in Microbiology*. 2018;9:1726. doi:[10.3389/fmicb.2018.01726](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.01726)
6. Chen Y, Tang H, Chao C, Lai C. Clinical Manifestations of Non-O1 *Vibrio cholerae* Infections. *PLoS One*. 2015;10:e0116904. doi:[10.1371/journal.pone.0116904](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0116904)
7. Lu B, Zhou H, Li D, et al. The first case of bacteraemia due to non-O1/non-O139 *Vibrio cholerae* in a type 2 diabetes mellitus patient in mainland China. *International Journal of Infectious Diseases*. 2014;25:116-118. doi:[10.1016/j.ijid.2014.04.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2014.04.015)
8. Maraki S, Christidou A, Anastasaki M, Scoulica E. Non-O1, non-O139 *Vibrio cholerae* bacteremia skin and soft tissue infections. *Infectious Diseases*. 2016;48:171-176. doi:[10.3109/23744235.2015.1104720](https://doi.org/10.3109/23744235.2015.1104720)
9. Petsaris O, Nousbaum JB, Quilici ML, Le Coadou G, Payan C, Abalain ML. Non-O1, non-O139 *Vibrio cholerae* bacteremia in a cirrhotic patient. *Journal of Medical Microbiology*. 2010;59:1260. doi:[10.1099/jmm.0.021014-0](https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.021014-0)
10. Gao X, Miao Z, Li X, et al. Pathogenicity of non-O1/O139 *Vibrio cholerae* and its induced immune response in *Macrobrachium rosenbergii*. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*. 2019;92:300-307. doi:[10.1016/j.fsi.2019.06.032](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2019.06.032)
11. Yan L, Jin Y, Zhang B, et al. Diverse Aquatic Animal Matrices Play a Key Role in Survival and Potential Virulence of Non-O1/O139 *Vibrio cholerae* Isolates. *Frontiers in Microbiology*. 2022;13:896767. doi:[10.3389/fmicb.2022.896767](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.896767)
12. Zago V, Zambon M, Civettini M, Zaltum O, Manfrin A. Virulence-associated factors in *Vibrio cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 and *V. mimicus* strains isolated in ornamental fish species. *Journal of Fish Diseases*. 2017;40:1857-1868. doi:[10.1111/jfd.12659](https://doi.org/10.1111/jfd.12659)
13. Schwartz K, Hammerl JA, Göllner C, Strauch E. Environmental and Clinical Strains of *Vibrio cholerae* Non-O1, Non-O139 from Germany Possess Similar Virulence Gene Profiles. *Frontiers in Microbiology*. 2019;10:733. doi:[10.3389/fmicb.2019.00733](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.00733)
14. Naser IB, Shishir TA, Faruque SN, Hoque MM, Hasan A, Faruque SM. Environmental prevalence of toxigenic *Vibrio cholerae* O1 in Bangladesh coincides with *V. cholerae* non-O1 non-O139 genetic variants which overproduce autoinducer-2. *PLoS One*. 2021;16:e0254068. doi:[10.1371/journal.pone.0254068](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0254068)
15. Chowdhury G, Joshi S, Bhattacharya S, et al. Extraintestinal Infections Caused by Non-toxigenic *Vibrio cholerae* non-O1/non-O139. *Frontiers in Microbiology*. 2016;7:144. doi:[10.3389/fmicb.2016.00144](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00144)
16. Almagro-Moreno S, Taylor RK. Cholera: Environmental Reservoirs and Impact on Disease Transmission. *Microbiology Spectrum*. 2013;1. doi:[10.1128/microbiolspec.OH-0003-2012](https://doi.org/10.1128/microbiolspec.OH-0003-2012)
17. Fakruddin M, Rahaman M, Ahmed MM, Hoque MM. Stress tolerant virulent strains of *Cronobacter sakazakii* from food. *Biological Research*. 2014;47:63. doi:[10.1186/0717-6287-47-63](https://doi.org/10.1186/0717-6287-47-63)
18. Rahman Z, Rahman MA, Rashid M, et al. *Vibrio cholerae* Transmits Through Water Among the Household Contacts of Cholera Patients in Cholera Endemic Coastal Villages of Bangladesh, 2015-2016 (CHoBI7 Trial). *Frontiers in Public Health*. 2018;6:238. doi:[10.3389/fpubh.2018.00238](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2018.00238)

19. Hoffman C, Siddiqui NY, Fields I, et al. Species-Level Resolution of Female Bladder Microbiota from 16S rRNA Amplicon Sequencing. *Msystems*. 2021;6:e0051821. doi:[10.1128/mSystems.00518-21](https://doi.org/10.1128/mSystems.00518-21)
20. Maiden MCJ, Bygraves JA, Feil E, et al. Multilocus sequence typing: A portable approach to the identification of clones within populations of pathogenic microorganisms. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 1998;95:3140-3145. doi:[10.1073/pnas.95.6.3140](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.6.3140)
21. Esteves K, Mosser T, Aujoulat F, Hervio-Heath D, Monfort P, Jumas-Bilak E. Highly diverse recombining populations of *Vibrio cholerae* and *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* in French Mediterranean coastal lagoons. *Frontiers in Microbiology*. 2015;6:708. doi:[10.3389/fmicb.2015.00708](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.00708)
22. Karpov DS, Goncharenko AV, Usachev EV, et al. A Strategy for the Rapid Development of a Safe *Vibrio cholerae* Candidate Vaccine Strain. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*. 2021;22:11657. doi:[10.3390/ijms22111657](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22111657)
23. Dillon MM, Thakur S, Almeida RND, Wang PW, Weir BS, Guttman DS. Recombination of ecologically and evolutionarily significant loci maintains genetic cohesion in the *Pseudomonas syringae* species complex. *Genome Biology*. 2019;20. doi:[10.1186/s13059-018-1606-y](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-018-1606-y)
24. Tang J, Li S, Zhang M, Li F, Tang Y, Yang F. Whole Genome Analysis of a Non-O1, Non-O139 *Vibrio cholerae* Detected from Human Blood in China. *Infection and Drug Resistance*. 2023;16:5453-5461. doi:[10.2147/IDR.S420095](https://doi.org/10.2147/IDR.S420095)
25. Zheng L, Zhu L, Jing J, et al. Pan-Genome Analysis of *Vibrio cholerae* and *Vibrio metschnikovii* Strains Isolated from Migratory Birds at Dali Nouer Lake in Chifeng, China. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*. 2021;8:638820. doi:[10.3389/fvets.2021.638820](https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2021.638820)
26. Moussa M, Cauvin E, Le Piouffle A, et al. A MALDI-TOF MS database for fast identification of *Vibrio* spp. potentially pathogenic to marine mollusks. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*. 2021;105:2527-2539. doi:[10.1007/s00253-021-11141-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-021-11141-0)
27. Rahmani A, Vercauteren M, Vranckx K, et al. MALDI-TOF MS as a promising tool to assess potential virulence of *Vibrio tapetis* isolates. *Aquaculture*. 2021;530:735729. doi:[10.1016/j.aquaculture.2020.735729](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2020.735729)
28. Brumfield KD, Leddy M, Usmani M, et al. Microbiome Analysis for Wastewater Surveillance during COVID-19. *Mbio*. 2022;13:e0059122. doi:[10.1128/mbio.00591-22](https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.00591-22)
29. Das L, Deb S, Arakawa E, Yamasaki S, Das SK. Pufferfish (*Tetraodon cutcutia*) Sampled from a Freshwater River Serves as an Intermediate Reservoir of a Sucrose Nonfermenting Variant of *Vibrio cholerae* PS-4. *Microbiology Spectrum*. 2022;10. doi:[10.1128/spectrum.01221-21](https://doi.org/10.1128/spectrum.01221-21)
30. Qin X, Yang L, Xu Y, Xie L, Wang Y, Chen L. Growth and Genome Features of Non-O1/O139 *Vibrio cholerae* Isolated from Three Species of Common Freshwater Fish. *Diversity-Basel*. 2024;16:268. doi:[10.3390/d16050268](https://doi.org/10.3390/d16050268)
31. Di DYW, Lee A, Jang J, Han D, Hur H. Season-Specific Occurrence of Potentially Pathogenic *Vibrio* spp. on the Southern Coast of South Korea. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 2017;83. doi:[10.1128/AEM.02680-16](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02680-16)
32. Devi MS, Paria P, Kumar V, et al. Molecular identification and pathogenicity study of virulent *Vibrio cholerae* non O1/O139 serotype associated with mortality of farmed *Labeo rohita* (Hamilton, 1822), in India. *Aquaculture*. 2022;547:737529. doi:[10.1016/j.aquaculture.2021.737529](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2021.737529)
33. Luo Y, Wang H, Liang J, et al. Population Structure and Multidrug Resistance of Non-O1/Non-O139 *Vibrio cholerae* in Freshwater Rivers in Zhejiang, China. *Microbial Ecology*. 2021;82:319-333. doi:[10.1007/s00248-020-01645-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-020-01645-z)
34. Takahashi E, Ochi S, Mizuno T, et al. Virulence of Cholera Toxin Gene-Positive *Vibrio cholerae* Non-O1/non-O139 Strains Isolated from Environmental Water in Kolkata, India. *Frontiers in Microbiology*. 2021;12:726273. doi:[10.3389/fmicb.2021.726273](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.726273)
35. Fang L, Ginn AM, Harper J, Kane AS, Wright AC. Survey and genetic characterization of *Vibrio cholerae* in Apalachicola Bay, Florida (2012-2014). *Journal of Applied Microbiology*. 2019;126:1265-1277. doi:[10.1111/jam.14199](https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.14199)
36. Flach EJ, LeNette-Dawson D, Greig DR, et al. Isolation and characterisation of *Vibrio cholerae* from fish examined postmortem at ZSL London Zoo between 2014 and 2018. *Veterinary Record*. 2020;187:e86. doi:[10.1136/vr.105682](https://doi.org/10.1136/vr.105682)
37. Rehulka J, Petras P, Marejkova M, Aldova E. *Vibrio cholerae* non-O1/non-O139 infection in fish in the Czech Republic. *Veterinárni Medicína*. 2015;60:16-22. doi:[10.17221/7921-VETMED](https://doi.org/10.17221/7921-VETMED)
38. Shan X, Fu J, Li X, Peng X, Chen L. Comparative proteomics and secretomics revealed virulence, and coresistance-related factors in non O1/O139 *Vibrio cholerae* recovered from 16 species of consumable aquatic animals. *Journal of Proteomics*. 2022;251:104408. doi:[10.1016/j.jprot.2021.104408](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot.2021.104408)

39. Gao X, Chen N, Zhang Y, Zhang X, Bing X. Non-O1 *Vibrio cholerae* pathogen from *Cyprinus carpio* and control with anti-non-O1 *V. cholerae* egg yolk powder (IgY). *Aquaculture*. 2017;479:69-74. doi:[10.1016/j.aquaculture.2017.05.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2017.05.015)
40. Han Z, Sun J, Jiang B, et al. Concurrent infections of *Aeromonas veronii* and *Vibrio cholerae* in koi carp (*Cyprinus carpio* var. *koi*). *Aquaculture*. 2021;535:736395. doi:[10.1016/j.aquaculture.2021.736395](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2021.736395)
41. Runft DL, Mitchell KC, Abuaita BH, et al. Zebrafish as a Natural Host Model for *Vibrio cholerae* Colonization and Transmission. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 2014;80:1710-1717. doi:[10.1128/AEM.03580-13](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03580-13)
42. Elgendy MY, Abdelsalam M, Kenawy AM, Ali SE. Vibrios outbreaks in farmed Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) caused by *Vibrio mimicus* and *V. cholerae*. *Aquaculture International*. 2022;30:2661-2677. doi:[10.1007/s10499-022-00921-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10499-022-00921-8)
43. Yang Y, Zhang H, Liu Y, et al. Identification of *Vibrio cholerae* as a bacterial pathogen of bluegill sunfish. *Aquaculture Reports*. 2022;23:101092. doi:[10.1016/j.aqrep.2022.101092](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aqrep.2022.101092)
44. Ni P, Guo Y, Liu J, et al. First case of non-O1/O139 *Vibrio cholerae* infection in the pink-tailed chalcus *Chalceus macrolepidotus* in China. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms*. 2021;143:139. doi:[10.3354/dao03565](https://doi.org/10.3354/dao03565)
45. Zhou Y, Gu S, Li J, et al. Complete Genome Analysis of Highly Pathogenic Non-O1/O139 *Vibrio cholerae* Isolated from *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* Reveals Pathogenicity and Antibiotic Resistance-Related Genes. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*. 2022;9:882885. doi:[10.3389/fvets.2022.882885](https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2022.882885)
46. Liu Q, Tang Y, Zhang S, et al. Characterization and expression analysis of differentially expressed genes in the red swamp crayfish *Procambarus clarkii* in response to *Vibrio cholerae* challenge. *Aquaculture*. 2022;547:737435. doi:[10.1016/j.aquaculture.2021.737435](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2021.737435)
47. Fang L, Wolmarans B, Kang M, Jeong KC, Wright AC. Application of chitosan microparticles for reduction of vibrio species in seawater and live oysters (*Crassostrea virginica*). *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 2015;81:640-647. doi:[10.1128/AEM.02856-14](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02856-14)
48. Williams TC, Froelich BA, Phippen B, Fowler P, Noble RT, Oliver JD. Different abundance and correlational patterns exist between total and presumed pathogenic *Vibrio vulnificus* and *V. parahaemolyticus* in shellfish and waters along the North Carolina coast. *Fems Microbiology Ecology*. 2017;93. doi:[10.1093/femsec/fix071](https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fix071)
49. Lamon S, Consolati SG, Fois F, et al. Occurrence, Seasonal Distribution, and Molecular Characterization of *Vibrio vulnificus*, *Vibrio cholerae*, and *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* in Shellfish (*Mytilus galloprovincialis* and *Ruditapes decussatus*) Collected in Sardinia (Italy). *Journal of Food Protection*. 2019;82:1851-1856. doi:[10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-19-021](https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-19-021)
50. Buck JD, McCarthy SA. Occurrence of non-O1 *Vibrio cholerae* in Texas Gulf Coast dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*). *Letters in Applied Microbiology*. 1994;18:45-46. doi:[10.1111/j.1472-765X.1994.tb00797.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-765X.1994.tb00797.x)
51. Jiang SC. *Vibrio cholerae* in recreational beach waters and tributaries of Southern California. *Hydrobiologia*. 2001;460:157-164. doi:[10.1023/A:1013152407425](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1013152407425)
52. Vezzulli L, Pezzati E, Moreno M, et al. Benthic ecology of *Vibrio* spp. and pathogenic *Vibrio* species in a coastal Mediterranean environment (La Spezia Gulf, Italy). *Environmental Microbiology*. 2009;58:808-818. doi:[10.1007/s00248-009-9542-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-009-9542-8)
53. Vital M, Fuchslin HP, Hammes F, Egli T. Growth of *Vibrio cholerae* O1 Ogawa Eltor in freshwater. *Microbiology (Society for General Microbiology)*. 2007;153:1993-2001. doi:[10.1099/mic.0.2006/005173-0](https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.2006/005173-0)
54. Xu H, Roberts N, Singleton FL, Attwell RW, Grimes DJ, Colwell RR. Survival and Viability of Nonculturable *Escherichia coli* and *Vibrio cholerae* in the Estuarine and Marine Environment. *Microbial Ecology*. 1982;8:313-323. doi:[10.1007/BF02010671](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02010671)
55. Constantin De Magny G, Murtugudde R, Sapiano MRP, et al. Environmental signatures associated with cholera epidemics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences - PNAS*. 2008;105:17676-17681. doi:[10.1073/pnas.0809654105](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0809654105)
56. Huq A, Sack RB, Nizam A, et al. Critical factors influencing the occurrence of *Vibrio cholerae* in the environment of Bangladesh. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 2005;71:4645-4654. doi:[10.1128/AEM.71.8.4645-4654.2005](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.71.8.4645-4654.2005)
57. Lipp EK, Huq A, Colwell RR. Effects of global climate on infectious disease: the cholera model. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*. 2002;15:757-770. doi:[10.1128/CMR.15.4.757-770.2002](https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.15.4.757-770.2002)
58. Vezzulli L, Grande C, Reid PC, et al. Climate influence on *Vibrio* and associated human diseases during the past half-century in the coastal North Atlantic. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences - PNAS*. 2016;113:E5062-E5071. doi:[10.1073/pnas.1609157113](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1609157113)

59. Louis VR, Russek-cohen E, Choopun N, et al. Predictability of *Vibrio cholerae* in Chesapeake Bay. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 2003;69:2773-2785. doi:[10.1128/AEM.69.5.2773-2785.2003](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.69.5.2773-2785.2003)
60. Singleton FL, Attwell R, Jangi S, Colwell RR. Effects of temperature and salinity on *Vibrio cholerae* growth. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 1982;44:1047-1058. doi:[10.1128/AEM.44.5.1047-1058.1982](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.44.5.1047-1058.1982)
61. Huq A, Small EB, West PA, et al. Ecological relationships between *Vibrio cholerae* and planktonic crustacean copepods (Seafood contaminants). *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 1983;45:275-283. doi:[10.1128/aem.45.1.275-283.1983](https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.45.1.275-283.1983)
62. Kaper JB, Morris JG, Levine MM. Cholera. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*. 1995;8:48-86. doi:[10.1128/cmr.8.1.48](https://doi.org/10.1128/cmr.8.1.48)
63. Faruque SM, Albert MJ, Mekalanos JJ. Epidemiology, genetics, and ecology of toxigenic *Vibrio cholerae*. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*. 1998;62:1301-1314. doi:[10.1128/MMBR.62.4.1301-1314.1998](https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.62.4.1301-1314.1998)
64. Pruzzo C, Vezzulli L, Colwell RR. Global impact of *Vibrio cholerae* interactions with chitin. *Environmental Microbiology*. 2008;10:1400-1410. doi:[10.1111/j.1462-2920.2007.01559.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2007.01559.x)
65. Baker-Austin C, Trinanet JA, Taylor NGH, Hartnell R, Siitonen A, Martinez-Urtaza J. Emerging *Vibrio* risk at high latitudes in response to ocean warming. *Nature Climate Change*. 2013;3:73-77. doi:[10.1038/nclimate1628](https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1628)
66. Kirchberger PC, Turnsek M, Hunt DE, et al. *Vibrio metoecus* sp. nov., a close relative of *Vibrio cholerae* isolated from coastal brackish ponds and clinical specimens. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*. 2014;64:3208. doi:[10.1099/ijs.0.060145-0](https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.060145-0)
67. Siboni N, Balaraju V, Carney R, Labbate M, Seymour JR. Spatiotemporal Dynamics of *Vibrio* spp. within the Sydney Harbour Estuary. *Frontiers in Microbiology*. 2016;7:460. doi:[10.3389/fmicb.2016.00460](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00460)
68. Nandi B, Nandy RK, Mukhopadhyay S, Balakrish Nair G, Shimada T, Ghose AC. Rapid method for species-specific identification of *Vibrio cholerae* using primers targeted to the gene of outer membrane protein OmpW. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*. 2000;38:4145-4151. doi:[10.1128/JCM.38.11.4145-4151.2000](https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.38.11.4145-4151.2000)
69. Halpern M, Izhaki I. Fish as Hosts of *Vibrio cholerae*. *Frontiers in Microbiology*. 2017;8:282. doi:[10.3389/fmicb.2017.00282](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00282)
70. Colwell RR, Huq A, Islam MS, et al. Reduction of Cholera in Bangladeshi Villages by Simple Filtration. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences - PNAS*. 2003;100:1051-1055. doi:[10.1073/pnas.0237386100](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0237386100)
71. Baron S, Lesne J, Moore S, et al. No Evidence of Significant Levels of Toxigenic *V. cholerae* O1 in the Haitian Aquatic Environment During the 2012 Rainy Season. *PLoS Curr*. 2013;5. doi:[10.1371/currents.outbreaks.7735b392bdc749baf5812d2096d331e](https://doi.org/10.1371/currents.outbreaks.7735b392bdc749baf5812d2096d331e)
72. Krebs SJ, Taylor RK. Nutrient-dependent, rapid transition of *Vibrio cholerae* to coccoid morphology and expression of the toxin co-regulated pilus in this form. *Microbiology*. 2011;157:2942-2953. doi:[10.1099/mic.0.048561-0](https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.048561-0)
73. Watnick PI, Fullner KJ, Kolter R. A role for the mannose-sensitive hemagglutinin in biofilm formation by *Vibrio cholerae* El Tor. *Journal of Bacteriology*. 1999;181:3606-3609. doi:[10.1128/JB.181.11.3606-3609.1999](https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.181.11.3606-3609.1999)
74. Benitez JA, Silva AJ. *Vibrio cholerae* hemagglutinin (HA)/protease: An extracellular metalloprotease with multiple pathogenic activities. *Toxicon*. 2016;115:55-62. doi:[10.1016/j.toxicon.2016.03.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxicon.2016.03.003)
75. Zhang X, Austin B. Haemolysins in *Vibrio* species. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*. 2005;98:1011-1019. doi:[10.1111/j.1365-2672.2005.02583.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2005.02583.x)
76. Awasthi SP, Asakura M, Chowdhury N, et al. Novel Cholera Toxin Variants, ADP-Ribosylating Toxins in *Vibrio cholerae* Non-O1/Non-O139 Strains, and Their Pathogenicity. *Infection and Immunity*. 2013;81:531-541. doi:[10.1128/IAI.00982-12](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00982-12)
77. Dolores J, Satchell KJF. Analysis of *Vibrio cholerae* Genome Sequences Reveals Unique rtxA Variants in Environmental Strains and anrxtA -Null Mutation in Recent Altered El Tor Isolates. *Mbio*. 2013;4:e00612-e00624. doi:[10.1128/mBio.00624-12](https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00624-12)
78. Satchell KJF. Multifunctional-autoprocessing repeats-in-toxin (MARTX) Toxins of Vibrios. *Microbiology Spectrum*. 2015;3. doi:[10.1128/microbiolspec.VE-0002-2014](https://doi.org/10.1128/microbiolspec.VE-0002-2014)
79. Fong JCN, Syed KA, Klose KE, Yildiz FH. Role of *Vibrio* polysaccharide (vps) genes in VPS production, biofilm formation and *Vibrio cholerae* pathogenesis. *Microbiology*. 2010;156:2757-2769. doi:[10.1099/mic.0.040196-0](https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.040196-0)

80. Yildiz FH, Visick KL. *Vibrio* biofilms: so much the same yet so different. *Trends in Microbiology*. 2009;17:109-118. doi:[10.1016/j.tim.2008.12.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2008.12.004)
81. Rutherford ST, Bassler BL. Bacterial quorum sensing: its role in virulence and possibilities for its control. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Medicine*. 2012;2:a012427. doi:[10.1101/cshperspect.a012427](https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a012427)
82. Zhu J, Miller MB, Vance RE, Dziejman M, Bassler BL, Mekalanos JJ. Quorum-Sensing Regulators Control Virulence Gene Expression in *Vibrio cholerae*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences - PNAS*. 2002;99:3129-3134. doi:[10.1073/pnas.052694299](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.052694299)
83. Unterwieser D, Miyata ST, Bachmann V, et al. The *Vibrio cholerae* type VI secretion system employs diverse effector modules for intraspecific competition. *Nature Communications*. 2014;5:3549. doi:[10.1038/ncomms4549](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms4549)
84. Senderovich Y, Izhaki I, Halpern M. Fish as reservoirs and vectors of *Vibrio cholerae*. *PLoS One*. 2010;5:e8607. doi:[10.1371/journal.pone.0008607](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0008607)
85. Chatterjee S, Haldar S. *Vibrio* Related Diseases in Aquaculture and Development of Rapid and Accurate Identification Methods. *Journal of Marine Science: Research & Development*. 2012;s1. doi:[10.4172/2155-9910.S1-002](https://doi.org/10.4172/2155-9910.S1-002)
86. Mishra SS, Dhiman M, Jena J, Prasad KP. *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* septicemia in *Macrobrachium rosenbergii*. *Indian Journal of Fisheries*. 2010;57:87-91.
87. Canesi L, Gallo G, Gavioli M, Pruzzo C. Bacteria-hemocyte interactions and phagocytosis in marine bivalves. *Microscopy Research and Technique*. 2002;57:469-476. doi:[10.1002/jemt.10100](https://doi.org/10.1002/jemt.10100)
88. Pruzzo C, Gallo G, Canesi L. Persistence of vibrios in marine bivalves: the role of interactions with haemolymph components. *Environmental Microbiology*. 2005;7:761-772. doi:[10.1111/j.1462-2920.2005.00792.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2005.00792.x)
89. Zhang X, He X, Austin B. *Vibrio harveyi*: a serious pathogen of fish and invertebrates in mariculture. *Marine Life Science & Technology*. 2020;2:231-245. doi:[10.1007/s42995-020-00037-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s42995-020-00037-z)
90. Austin B. *Vibrios* as causal agents of zoonoses. *Veterinary Microbiology*. 2010;140:310-317. doi:[10.1016/j.vetmic.2009.03.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2009.03.015)
91. Baker-Austin C, Oliver JD, Alam M, et al. *Vibrio* spp. infections. *Nature Reviews Disease Primers*. 2018;4:1-19. doi:[10.1038/s41572-018-0005-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41572-018-0005-8)
92. Rivera ING, Chun J, Huq A, Sack RB, Colwell RR. Genotypes Associated with Virulence in Environmental Isolates of *Vibrio cholerae*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 2001;67:2421-2429. doi:[10.1128/AEM.67.6.2421-2429.2001](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.67.6.2421-2429.2001)
93. Vaitkevicius K, Lindmark B, Ou G, et al. A *Vibrio cholerae* protease needed for killing of *Caenorhabditis elegans* has a role in protection from natural predator grazing. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2006;103:9280-9285. doi:[10.1073/pnas.0601754103](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0601754103)
94. Shin OS, Tam VC, Suzuki M, et al. Type III secretion is essential for the rapidly fatal diarrheal disease caused by non-O1, non-O139 *Vibrio cholerae*. *Mbio*. 2011;2:e00106. doi:[10.1128/mBio.00106-11](https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00106-11)
95. Jørgensen R, Purdy AE, Fieldhouse RJ, Kimber MS, Bartlett DH, Merrill AR. Cholix Toxin, a Novel ADP-ribosylating Factor from *Vibrio cholerae*. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 2008;283:10671-10678. doi:[10.1074/jbc.M710008200](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M710008200)
96. Wang R, Zhong Y, Gu X, Yuan J, Saeed AF, Wang S. The pathogenesis, detection, and prevention of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*. *Frontiers in Microbiology*. 2015;6:144. doi:[10.3389/fmicb.2015.00144](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.00144)
97. Trucksis M, Galen JE, Michalski J, Fasano A, Kaper JB. Accessory Cholera Enterotoxin (Ace), the Third Toxin of a *Vibrio cholerae* Virulence Cassette. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences - PNAS*. 1993;90:5267-5271. doi:[10.1073/pnas.90.11.5267](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.90.11.5267)
98. Fasano A, Baudry B, Pumphlin DW, et al. *Vibrio cholerae* produces a second enterotoxin, which affects intestinal tight junctions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 1991;88:5242-5246. doi:[10.1073/pnas.88.12.5242](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.88.12.5242)
99. Marsh JW, Taylor RK. Genetic and Transcriptional Analyses of the *Vibrio cholerae* Mannose-Sensitive Hemagglutinin Type 4 Pilus Gene Locus. *Journal of Bacteriology*. 1999;181:1110-1117. doi:[10.1128/JB.181.4.1110-1117.1999](https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.181.4.1110-1117.1999)
100. Almagro-Moreno S, Boyd EF. Insights into the evolution of sialic acid catabolism among bacteria. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*. 2009;9:118. doi:[10.1186/1471-2148-9-118](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-9-118)
101. Wong SK, Zhang X, Wai SN. Two-component systems in *Vibrio cholerae*: identification of the sensor kinase and response regulator genes involved in the VarS/VarA system. *Journal of Bacteriology*. 2012;194:2485-2493.

102. Skorupski K, Taylor RK. Control of the ToxR virulence regulon in *Vibrio cholerae* by environmental stimuli. *Molecular Microbiology*. 1997;25:1003-1009. doi:[10.1046/j.1365-2958.1997.5481909.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958.1997.5481909.x)
103. Kitaoka M, Miyata ST, Unterwieser D, Pukatzki S. Antibiotic resistance mechanisms of *Vibrio cholerae*. *Journal of Medical Microbiology*. 2011;60:397. doi:[10.1099/jmm.0.023051-0](https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.023051-0)
104. Seed KD, Yen M, Shapiro BJ, et al. Evolutionary consequences of intra-patient phage predation on microbial populations. *Elife*. 2014;3:e03497. doi:[10.7554/eLife.03497](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.03497)
105. Papenfort K, Förstner KU, Cong J, Sharma CM, Bassler BL. Differential RNA-seq of *Vibrio cholerae* identifies the VqmR small RNA as a regulator of biofilm formation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences - PNAS*. 2015;112:E766-E775. doi:[10.1073/pnas.1500203112](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1500203112)
106. Joshi A, Kostiuk B, Rogers A, Teschler J, Pukatzki S, Yildiz FH. Rules of Engagement: The Type VI Secretion System in *Vibrio cholerae*. *Trends in Microbiology*. 2017;25:267-279. doi:[10.1016/j.tim.2016.12.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2016.12.003)
107. Hazen TH, Pan L, Gu J, Sobecky PA. The contribution of mobile genetic elements to the evolution and ecology of *Vibrios*. *Fems Microbiology Ecology*. 2010;74:485-499. doi:[10.1111/j.1574-6941.2010.00937.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2010.00937.x)
108. Matson JS, Withey JH, DiRita VJ. Regulatory Networks Controlling *Vibrio cholerae* Virulence Gene Expression. *Infection and Immunity*. 2007;75:5542-5549. doi:[10.1128/IAI.01094-07](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.01094-07)
109. Mey AR, Butz HA, Payne SM. *Vibrio cholerae* CsrA Regulates ToxR Levels in Response to Amino Acids and Is Essential for Virulence. *Mbio*. 2015;6:e01064. doi:[10.1128/mBio.01064-15](https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01064-15)
110. Ceccarelli D, Chen A, Hasan NA, Rashed SM, Huq A, Colwell RR. Non-O1/non-O139 *Vibrio cholerae* carrying multiple virulence factors and *V. cholerae* O1 in the Chesapeake Bay, Maryland. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 2015;81:1909-1918. doi:[10.1128/AEM.03540-14](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03540-14)
111. Kirchberger PC, Orata FD, Barlow EJ, et al. A Small Number of Phylogenetically Distinct Clonal Complexes Dominate a Coastal *Vibrio cholerae* Population. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 2016;82:5576-5586. doi:[10.1128/AEM.01177-16](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01177-16)
112. Verma J, Bag S, Saha B, et al. Genomic plasticity associated with antimicrobial resistance in *Vibrio cholerae*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2019;116:6226-6231. doi:[10.1073/pnas.1900141116](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1900141116)
113. Purdy AE, Balch D, Lizárraga Partida ML, et al. Diversity and distribution of cholera toxin, a novel ADP-ribosylating factor from *Vibrio cholerae*. *Environmental Microbiology Reports*. 2010;2:198-207. doi:[10.1111/j.1758-2229.2010.00139.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1758-2229.2010.00139.x)
114. Deshayes S, Daurel C, Cattoir V, Parienti JJ, Quilici ML, de La Blanchardière A. Non-O1, non-O139 *Vibrio cholerae* bacteraemia: case report and literature review. *Springerplus*. 2015;4:575. doi:[10.1186/s40064-015-1346-3](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40064-015-1346-3)
115. Liu M, Li X, Xie Y, et al. ICEberg 2.0: an updated database of bacterial integrative and conjugative elements. *Nucleic Acids Research*. 2019;47:D660-D665. doi:[10.1093/nar/gky1123](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1123)
116. Bhuyan SK, Vairale MG, Arya N, et al. Molecular epidemiology of *Vibrio cholerae* associated with flood in Brahmaputra River valley, Assam, India. *Infection, Genetics and Evolution*. 2016;40:352-356. doi:[10.1016/j.meegid.2015.11.029](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2015.11.029)
117. Wang Y, Lv Y, Cai J, et al. A novel gene, optrA, that confers transferable resistance to oxazolidinones and phenicols and its presence in *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Enterococcus faecium* of human and animal origin. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*. 2015;70:2182-2190. doi:[10.1093/jac/dkv116](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkv116)
118. Mandal J, Sangeetha V, Ganesan V, et al. Third-Generation Cephalosporin-Resistant *Vibrio cholerae*, India. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*. 2012;18:1326. doi:[10.3201/eid1808.111686](https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1808.111686)
119. Shaw KJ, Rather PN, Hare RS, Miller GH. Molecular genetics of aminoglycoside resistance genes and familial relationships of the aminoglycoside-modifying enzymes. *Microbiological Reviews*. 1993;57:138-163. doi:[10.1128/mr.57.1.138-163.1993](https://doi.org/10.1128/mr.57.1.138-163.1993)
120. Faruque SM, Tam VC, Chowdhury N, et al. Genomic analysis of the Mozambique strain of *Vibrio cholerae* O1 reveals the origin of El Tor strains carrying classical CTX prophage. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences - PNAS*. 2007;104:5151-5156. doi:[10.1073/pnas.0700365104](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0700365104)
121. Colmer JA, Fralick JA, Hamood AN. Isolation and characterization of a putative multidrug resistance pump from *Vibrio cholerae*. *Molecular Microbiology*. 1998;27:63-72. doi:[10.1046/j.1365-2958.1998.00657.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958.1998.00657.x)

122. Hernando-Amado S, Coque TM, Baquero F, Martínez JL. Defining and combating antibiotic resistance from One Health and Global Health perspectives. *Nature Microbiology*. 2019;4:1432-1442. doi:[10.1038/s41564-019-0503-9](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-019-0503-9)
123. Provenzano D, Lauriano CM, Klose KE. Characterization of the Role of the ToxR-Modulated Outer Membrane Porins OmpU and OmpT in *Vibrio cholerae* Virulence. *Journal of Bacteriology*. 2001;183:3652-3662. doi:[10.1128/JB.183.12.3652-3662.2001](https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.183.12.3652-3662.2001)
124. Rapa RA, Islam A, Monahan LG, et al. A genomic island integrated into recA of *Vibrio cholerae* contains a divergent recA and provides multi-pathway protection from DNA damage. *Environmental Microbiology*. 2015;17:1090-1102. doi:[10.1111/1462-2920.12512](https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.12512)
125. Spagnoletti M, Ceccarelli D, Rieux A, et al. Acquisition and Evolution of SXT-R391 Integrative Conjugative Elements in the Seventh-Pandemic *Vibrio cholerae* Lineage. *Mbio*. 2014;5:e01314-e01356. doi:[10.1128/mBio.01356-14](https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01356-14)
126. Wang R, Yu D, Yue J, Kan B. Variations in SXT elements in epidemic *Vibrio cholerae* O1 El Tor strains in China. *Scientific Reports*. 2016;6:22733. doi:[10.1038/srep22733](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep22733)
127. Ramamurthy T, Mutreja A, Weill F, Das B, Ghosh A, Nair GB. Revisiting the Global Epidemiology of Cholera in Conjunction with the Genomics of *Vibrio cholerae*. *Frontiers in Public Health*. 2019;7:231. doi:[10.3389/fpubh.2019.00203](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2019.00203)
128. World HO. *Global Antimicrobial Resistance and Use Surveillance System (GLASS) Report 2021*.; 2021.
129. Li B, Qiu Y, Zhang J, Huang X, Shi H, Yin H. Real-Time Study of Rapid Spread of Antibiotic Resistance Plasmid in Biofilm Using Microfluidics. *Environmental Science & Technology*. 2018;52:11132-11141. doi:[10.1021/acs.est.8b03281](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b03281)
130. Hossain MS, Uddin MJ, Fakhruddin ANM. Impacts of shrimp farming on the coastal environment of Bangladesh and approach for management. *Reviews in Environmental Science and Bio/Technology*. 2013;12:313-332. doi:[10.1007/s11157-013-9311-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11157-013-9311-5)
131. Krummenauer D, Peixoto S, Cavalli RO, Poersch LH, Wasielesky W Jr. Superintensive Culture of White Shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*, in a Biofloc Technology System in Southern Brazil at Different Stocking Densities. *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society*. 2011;42:726-733. doi:[10.1111/j.1749-7345.2011.00507.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-7345.2011.00507.x)
132. Rameshkumar P, Nazar AKA, Pradeep MA, et al. Isolation and characterization of pathogenic *Vibrio alginolyticus* from sea cage cultured cobia (*Rachycentron canadum* (Linnaeus 1766)) in India. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*. 2017;65:423-430. doi:[10.1111/lam.12800](https://doi.org/10.1111/lam.12800)
133. Yan Q, Chen Q, Ma S, Zhuang Z, Wang X. Characteristics of adherence of pathogenic *Vibrio alginolyticus* to the intestinal mucus of large yellow croaker (*Pseudosciaena crocea*). *Aquaculture*. 2007;269:21-30. doi:[10.1016/j.aquaculture.2007.02.042](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2007.02.042)
134. Luan X, Chen J, Zhang X, Li Y, Hu G. Expression and characterization of a metalloprotease from a *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* isolate. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology*. 2007;53:1168-1173. doi:[10.1139/W07-085](https://doi.org/10.1139/W07-085)
135. Sha Y, Wang L, Liu M, Jiang K, Xin F, Wang B. Effects of lactic acid bacteria and the corresponding supernatant on the survival, growth performance, immune response and disease resistance of *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Aquaculture*. 2016;452:28-36. doi:[10.1016/j.aquaculture.2015.10.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2015.10.014)
136. Packiavathy IASV, Priya S, Pandian SK, Ravi AV. Inhibition of biofilm development of uropathogens by curcumin - An anti-quorum sensing agent from *Curcuma longa*. *Food Chemistry*. 2014;148:453-460. doi:[10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.08.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.08.002)
137. Yen M, Cairns LS, Camilli A. A cocktail of three virulent bacteriophages prevents *Vibrio cholerae* infection in animal models. *Nature Communications*. 2017;8:14187. doi:[10.1038/ncomms14187](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14187)
138. Watts JEM, Schreier HJ, Lanska L, Hale MS. The Rising Tide of Antimicrobial Resistance in Aquaculture: Sources, Sinks and Solutions. *Marine Drugs*. 2017;15:158. doi:[10.3390/md15060158](https://doi.org/10.3390/md15060158)
139. Santos L, Ramos F. Antimicrobial resistance in aquaculture: Current knowledge and alternatives to tackle the problem. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*. 2018;52:135-143. doi:[10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2018.03.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2018.03.010)
140. Deng Y, Xu X, Yin X, et al. Effect of stock density on the microbial community in biofloc water and Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) gut microbiota. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*. 2019;103:4241-4252. doi:[10.1007/s00253-019-09773-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-019-09773-4)
141. Halpern M, Broza YB, Mittler S, Arakawa E, Broza M. Chironomid egg masses as a natural reservoir of *Vibrio cholerae* non-O1 and non-O139 in freshwater habitats. *Microbial Ecology*. 2004;47:341-349. doi:[10.1007/s00248-003-2007-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-003-2007-6)

142. Wei S, Zhao H, Xian Y, Hussain MA, Wu X. Multiplex PCR assays for the detection of *Vibrio alginolyticus*, *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*, *Vibrio vulnificus*, and *Vibrio cholerae* with an internal amplification control. *Diagnostic Microbiology and Infectious Disease*. 2014;79:115-118. doi:[10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2014.03.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2014.03.012)

143. Pruzzo C, Huq A, Colwell RR, Donelli G. Pathogenic *Vibrio* Species in the Marine and Estuarine Environment. In: Belkin S, Colwell RR, eds. *Pathogenic Vibrio Species in the Marine and Estuarine Environment*. Springer US; 2005:217-252. doi:[10.1007/0-387-23709-7_9](https://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-23709-7_9)