The Open Access Israeli Journal of Aquaculture - Bamidgeh

As from **January 2010** The Israeli Journal of Aquaculture - Bamidgeh (IJA) will be published exclusively as **an on-line Open Access (OA)** quarterly accessible by all AquacultureHub (http://www.aquaculturehub.org) members and registered individuals and institutions. Please visit our website (http://siamb.org.il) for free registration form, further information and instructions.

This transformation from a subscription printed version to an on-line OA journal, aims at supporting the concept that scientific peer-reviewed publications should be made available to all, including those with limited resources. The OA IJA does not enforce author or subscription fees and will endeavor to obtain alternative sources of income to support this policy for as long as possible.

Editor-in-Chief

Dan Mires

Editorial Board

Sheenan Harpaz Agricultural Research Organization

Beit Dagan, Israel

Zvi Yaron Dept. of Zoology

Tel Aviv University Tel Aviv, Israel

Angelo Colorni National Center for Mariculture, IOLR

Eilat, Israel

Rina Chakrabarti Aqua Research Lab

Dept. of Zoology University of Delhi

Ingrid Lupatsch Swansea University

Singleton Park, Swansea, UK

Jaap van Rijn The Hebrew University

Faculty of Agriculture

Israel

Spencer Malecha Dept. of Human Nutrition, Food

and Animal Sciences University of Hawaii

Daniel Golani The Hebrew University of Jerusalem

Jerusalem, Israel

Emilio Tibaldi Udine University

Udine, Italy

Published under auspices of

The Society of Israeli Aquaculture and Marine Biotechnology (SIAMB), University of Hawaii at Manoa Library

and

University of Hawaii Aquaculture Program in association with

AquacultureHub

http://www.aquaculturehub.org









ISSN 0792 - 156X

© Israeli Journal of Aquaculture - BAMIGDEH.

Copy Editor Ellen Rosenberg

PUBLISHER:

Israeli Journal of Aquaculture - BAMIGDEH -Kibbutz Ein Hamifratz, Mobile Post 25210, ISRAEL

> Phone: + 972 52 3965809 http://siamb.org.il

Effect of Betaine as a Feed Attractant on Growth, Survival, and Feed Utilization in Fingerlings of the Indian Major Carp, Labeo rohita

R. Shankar, H. Shivananda Murthy*, Prakash Pavadi, and K. Thanuja

Department of Aquaculture, Karnataka Veterinary, Animal and Fisheries Sciences University, College of Fisheries, Mangalore 575002, India

(Received 5.9.07, Accepted 30.10.07)

Key words: betaine, feed attractant, Indian major carp, Labeo rohita

Abstract

The study evaluated the efficiency of betaine as a feed attractant on growth, survival, and feed utilization in fingerlings of rohu (*Labeo rohita*). Betaine was incorporated at 0 (control), 0.25%, 0.50%, or 0.75% in a 30% protein diet and fed to fingerlings for 120 days in a closed and aerated recirculating system. Feed was offered twice daily at a rate of 5% of the fish biomass during the first 15 days and 3% afterwards. Significantly better growth, specific growth rate, survival, food conversion ratio, and protein efficiency ratio were obtained in the group fed 0.25% betaine.

Introduction

Aquatic animals utilize water-borne chemical signals to identify and orient themselves toward potential prey or food (Rittschof, 1992). Such signals are recognized in spite of the chemical complexity of aquatic environments. Chemical signals regulate feeding behavior and control reproduction, and are especially significant in a managed biological system that produces a single aquatic species. Since feed is a significant expense in all aquaculture operations, the need to maximize feed consumption and reduce feed wastage is of paramount importance. Chemo

attractants and feeding stimulants are important means of reducing feed wastage by improving initial feeding and feed palatability (Lee and Meyers, 1996). Feed quality and environmental conditions (water quality, currents) directly influence the effectiveness of feed attractants and stimulants, ultimately determining the commercial value of a feed in an aquatic environment.

Betaine, a highly water soluble and therefore diffusible compound, stimulates the olfactory bulb of fish. It is found in relatively high quantities in marine invertebrates, microor-

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +91-824-2240118, fax: +91-824-2248366, e-mail: hsmurthy05@yahoo.com, hsmurthy05@gmail.com

96 Shankar et al.

ganisms, and some plants (Meyers, 1987). Betaine is an effective attractant to several aquatic species. The main physiological or metabolic functions of betaine are related to osmoregulation and methyl donation. In fish, betaine can protect cells against dramatic changes in osmotic pressure. Betaine also helps prevent enzyme inhibition and plays an important role in tissues as a methyl donor in protein and energy metabolism.

When betaine was added to a basal diet for rainbow trout, feed intake and growth were significantly higher and did not differ from the control fed a fishmeal-based diet (Tiril et al., 2008). In combination with amino acids, betaine has produced encouraging results in fish and shellfish. The commercial feed attractant Finnstim, a betaine/amino acid mixture, produced higher growth in yearling chinook salmon during their seawater phase (Clarke et al., 1994). A diet supplemented by a taurine and an amino acid mixture enhanced growth, feed intake, and survival in *Penaeus monodon* (Hartati and Briggs, 1976).

In the present study, the effects of betaine on the growth and survival of fry of the Indian major carp, rohu (*Labeo rohita*), were examined.

Materials and Methods

Animals. Rohu fry were brought from the Bhadra Reservoir Project Fish Seed Farm in Shimoga, India, to the fish farm of the College

of Fisheries in Mangalore, India, where they were fed a diet containing 30% crude protein and 6% lipid for two months until they reached fingerling size.

Experimental system and stocking. The experiment was carried out in a closed aerated recirculating system consisting of twelve 120-I fiberglass tanks (66 cm diameter). The tanks were cleaned and filled with water from a nearby perennial open well. Uniform-sized fingerlings (avg 2.72±0.23 g) were stocked at 11 fish/tank in three replicate groups.

Experimental diets and feeding. Three test diets incorporating betaine at 0.25%, 0.5%, or 0.75% were formulated while the control diet contained no betaine (Table 1). The fry were fed 5% of their biomass for the first 30 days, then 3% until the end of the experiment. Feed was offered twice daily for 120 days. At least 50% of the fish in each tank were sampled every 15 days and individually measured for weight and length. After every sampling, the feed quantity was adjusted based on the weight increase of the fish.

Water analysis. Water was analyzed every week. A portable digital kit (model CK 704) was used to record pH and temperature. Dissolved oxygen was estimated by Winkler's method. Total alkalinity, ammonia, and free carbon dioxide were determined by standard methods (APHA, 1995).

Chemical and statistical analysis. The proximate compositions of the feed ingredi-

Table 1. Proximate composition (%±SD) of diets fed to Labeo rohita fingerlings for 120 days.

	Betaine				
	0	0.25	0.5	0.75	
Dry matter	95.82±0.36	97.18±0.06	95.32±0.06	97.98±0.02	
Crude protein	29.67±0.23	29.83±0.08	30.09±0.76	30.14±0.13	
Crude fat	5.82±0.20	6.37±0.14	6.96±0.27	6.68±0.62	
Crude fiber	6.98±0.60	6.95±0.80	7.08±0.520	7.12±0.37	
Ash	21.99±0.53	21.84±0.28	20.46±0.31	21.08±0.26	
NFE	31.36±0.26	32.19±0.32	30.73±0.21	32.96±0.36	
Gross energy (kJ/g)	13.61±0.26	13.82±0.17	13.85±0.23	13.75±0.27	

ents and formulated diets were analyzed by standard methods (AOAC, 1975). Differences in growth between treatments were statistically analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Duncan's Multiple Range test was used to identify significant differences.

Results

Growth, survival, food conversion ratio (FCR), and protein efficiency ratio (PER) were significantly best in the treatment containing 0.25% betaine (Table 2). Water quality is given in Table 3.

Table 2. Weight, specific growth rate (SGR), survival, food conversion rate (FCR), and protein efficiency ratio (PER) of *Labeo rohita* fingerlings fed diets containing different levels of betaine for 120 days.

	Betaine				
	0	0.25	0.5	0.75	
Weight (g)*	16.5a	20.4d	17.3bc	17.8c	
SGR (%/day)	1.50a	1.67d	1.53b	1.56c	
Survival (%)	76.76a	87.87b	84.84b	78.78a	
FCR	2.02a	1.42b	1.65a	1.81a	
PER	0.45a	0.58d	0.48bc	0.50c	

^{*} Stocking weight was 2.72±0.23 g.

Table 3. Water quality parameters during the experimental period.

Parameter	Range (avg weekly value
Temperature (°C)	23.73-28.80
рН	7.3-8.6
Dissolved oxygen (mg/l)	6.0-8.7
Free carbon dioxide (mg/l)	0.15-5.53
Total alkalinity (mg/l)	55-88
Ammonia-nitrogen (µg/I)	0.017-6.22

Discussion

The higher growth attained by rohu fed diets containing betaine is comparable to results reported by earlier workers. Diets supplemented with a feeding stimulant mixture at a rate of 1.7% resulted in a significant increase in feed intake in striped bass (Papatryphon and Soares, 2001). A significantly higher growth rate was recorded in yearling chinook salmon fed a diet containing 1% Finnstim, a betaine/amino acid mixture, during their seawater phase (Clarke et al., 1994). Penaeus monodon fed a diet containing 1.55% of a taurine and amino acid mixture had better growth, feed intake, assimilation, FCR, and survival (Hartati and Briggs, 1976) while dimethyl-beta-propiothetin (DMPT) betaine increased body weight and molting rate in Penaeus indicus (Jasmine et al., 1993). Addition of a commercial feed attractant, Aquasavor, produced better growth in Catla catla when provided at a level of 2% (Swapnil, 2003) and in Macrobrachium rosenbergii at a level of 1% (Pavadi, 2004). A higher SGR was recorded in M. rosenbergii fed diets containing Nutripro-aqua (a feed additive) than in the control (Jayaram, 1998). Addition of betaine produced better growth in rainbow trout at a level of 1.5% (Tiril et al., 2008). The addition of betaine resulted in significantly higher weight gains possibly by improving feed intake and palatability through activation of the cephalic reflex induced by smell and taste of attractive substances in diets (Fange and Grove, 1979).

The highest weight gain and SGR were obtained with the lowest betaine supplementation, suggesting that feed additives or nutrients have a beneficial effect when given at the optimal level but, beyond that level, may negatively impact catabolic activity. On the other hand, the SGR of rohu decreases as culture progresses (Mohanty et al., 1996). In an earlier experiment, the best feed conversion and protein efficiency rates were also recorded in the 0.25% betaine group, possibly due to increased digestive enzyme activity (Srinivasa, 2000). Likewise, *P. monodon* fed a diet containing 1.5% Finnstim had a better FCR than those fed a diet containing an amino acid mix-

98 Shankar et al.

ture only (Hartati and Briggs, 1976). In striped bass, feed conversion improved in animals fed a fishmeal-based diet supplemented with a feeding stimulant mixture of several amino acids and betaine at a rate of 2.7% of the diet (Papartyphon and Soares, 2001).

The PER was significantly higher in the 0.25% betaine treatment. Addition of feed attractants has been found to enhance PER in fish and prawns. The feed attractant, Aquasavor, resulted in the highest PER in *C. catla* when included in the diet at a 2% level (Swapnil, 2003) and in *M. rosenbergii* when included in the diet at 1% (Pavadi, 2004).

In fish, PER is a better indication of nutritional status with respect to dietary protein than FCR (Jauncey, 1982).

Survival was significantly better in the 0.25% treatment than in the control. Feed attractants enhanced survival in *P. monodon* (Hartati and Briggs, 1976) although it had no effect on freshwater prawn juveniles (Harpaz, 1997). Aquasavor resulted in the best survival when included in the diet at a 2% level for *C. catla* (Swapnil, 2003) and at 1% for *M. rosenbergii* (Pavadi, 2004).

In conclusion, when used as a feed attractant, betaine promoted growth, survival, and feed utilization in rohu, the Indian major carp (*L. rohita*). A level of 2.5 g/kg diet was optimal for all parameters. The physico-chemical water parameters remained within the required range for rohu culture and were not affected by the betaine incorporated diets.

References

AOAC, 1975. Official Methods of Analysis, 12th ed. Assoc. Analytical Chemists, Washington D.C.

APHA, 1995. Standard Methods for Examination of Water and Waste Water. Am. Public Health Assoc., Washington D.C.

Clarke W.C., Virtanen E., Blackburn J. and D.A. Higgs, 1994. Effects of a dietary betaine/amino acid additive on growth and sea water adaptation in yearling chinook salmon. *Aquaculture*, 121:137-145.

Fange R. and D.S. Grove, 1979. Digestion in Fish Physiology, Vol. VIII. Acad. Press, NY. pp. 162-260.

Harpaz S., 1997. Enhancement of growth in juvenile freshwater prawn, *Macrobrachium rosenbergii*, through the use of a chemoattractant. *Aquaculture*, 156:221-227.

Hartati R. and M.R.P. Briggs, 1976. Effect of feed attractants on the behaviour and performance of juvenile *Penaeus monodon* Fabricius. *Aquac. Fish. Manage.*, 24:613-624. **Jasmine G.I., Pillai S.P. and S. Athithan,** 1993. Effect of feed stimulant on the biochemical composition and growth of Indian white prawn, *Penaeus indicus*. p. 19:139. In: M. Carrilo, N. Svennenig, J. Wyban (eds.). *From Discovery to Commercialization*. Eur. Aquac. Soc., Oostende, Belgium.

Jauncey K., 1982. Effects of carrying dietary protein level on the growth, food conversion, protein utilization and body composition of juvenile tilapia (*Sarotherodon mossambicus*). *Aquaculture*, 27:43-54.

Jayaram K.E., 1998. Growth Response of Giant Freshwater Prawn, Macrobrachium rosenbergii (de Man), to Feed Additive Nutripro-Aqua. M.F.Sc. thesis, Univ. Agric. Sci., Bangalore, India. 91 pp.

Lee P.G. and S. Meyers, 1996. Chemo attraction and feeding stimulation in crustacean. *Aquac. Nutr.*, 2:157-164.

Meyers S.P., 1987. Aquatic feeds and chemo attractants. *Aquac. Infofish Marketing Digest*, 1/87

Mohanty S.N., Swain S.K. and S.D. Tripathi, 1996. Rearing of catla (*Catla catla* Ham.) spawn on formulated diets. *J. Aquac. Trop.*, 11(4):253-258.

Papatryphon E. and J.H. Soares Jr., 2001. Optimizing the levels of feeding stimulants for use in high fish meal and plant feeding based diets for striped bass, *Morone saxatilis*. *Aquaculture*, 202:279-288.

Pavadi P., 2004. Effect of Feed Attractant on Growth, Survival and Feed Utilization of Freshwater Prawn, Macrobrachium rosenbergii (De Man). M.F.Sc. thesis, Univ. Agric. Sci., Bangalore, India. 133 pp.

Rittschof D., 1992. Peptide-mediated behaviors in marine organisms. Evidence for a common theme. *J. Chem. Ecol.*, 16:261-271.

Srinivasa D.S., 2000. Effect of G-probiotic on Growth, Body Composition and Survival of

Giant Freshwater Prawn, Macrobrachium rosenbergii (de Man), and Indian Major Carp, Labeo rohita (Ham). M.F.Sc. thesis, Univ. Agric. Sci., Bangalore, India. 113 pp.

Swapnil N.N., 2003. Efficiency of Feed Attractant and Different Protein Sources on Feed Utilization, Growth and Survival of Catla catla during Nursery and Rearing Period.

M.F.Sc. thesis, Univ. Agric. Sci., Bangalore, India. 121 pp.

Tiril S.U., Alagil F., Yagci F.B. and O. Aral, 2008. Effects of betaine supplementation in plant protein based diets on feed intake and growth performance in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Israeli J. Aquac. - Bamidgeh*, 60(1):57-64.